1.4.2. Feedback process of the institution

Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology is affiliated to University of Mumbai. The institute initiated stakeholder feedback policy for REV-2016 curriculum for continuous improvement in curriculum design. The main purpose of this policy is to collect the stakeholders perceptions of the effectiveness of the curriculum.

The feedback process followed by the institute is as follows:



- 1) Feedback is collected from the stakeholders such as Students, Faculty, Alumni and Parents. Alumni is collected through online, Students' and Faculty feedback through Institute's APMS portal and parents feedback through offline mode.
- 2) The collective feedback of each category is analyzed individually in terms of ten questionnaire related to the curriculum. Responses were summarized question wise and the responses for certain questions that were found below the bar were recorded.
- 3) A summary report is prepared recording all the suggestions that are essential for the enhancement of the curriculum.
- 4) The suggestions are communicated to the Dean of Faculty of Science & Technology, University of Mumbai, for consideration in the forthcoming curriculum revision.

FEEDBACK COLLECTED



SAMPLE TEMPLATES OF FEEDBACK FORMS



D	epartment :	(Academic year :	
P	rogram Nan	1e :			Year/Semester :	
N	ame of the S	tudent :		Roll Number :		
	ase rate you programm		dback on t	the curriculu	m for review of syllabus / to improve qualit	
L	A	allenging was t			e courses.	
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor	
2.					ses provided in the curriculum.	
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor	
3.	industry/cur	rent global sce	narios.		on to the competencies expected by	
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor	
4.	Rate the seq	uence of the un	its/modules	in the course	s.	
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor	
5.	Rate the ade	quateness of th	e textbooks	and reference	e books mentioned for the courses.	
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor	
6.	Rate the syll	abus content of	the course	s in terms of b	ourden on the students.	
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor	
7.	Rate the des	ign of the cours	es in terms	of extra learn	ing or self-learning .	
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor	
8.	Rate the flex	ibility in choos	ing the elec	tives in relatio	n to technology advancements.	
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor	
».	Rate the per	centage of the o	ourses offe	ring LAB con	nponents.	
	010000000000000000000000000000000000000	OVery Good			O Poor	
10.		nposition of the ore, discipline e			science, Engineering science, Humanities, sject etc.?	
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor	
4.0	v other snee	estion(s) ·				
1n	y other sugg	estion(s) :				



D	epartment :		Academic year :
P	rogram Name :		Year / Semester :
N	ame of the Faculty :		Designation :
	ease rate your valuable feedback on the programme.	curriculu	n for review of syllabus / to improve qu
1.	Rate the structure of the curriculum fram	ed for the e	entire program.
	OExcellent OVery Good O Good O	Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the appropriateness of the sequences	of the cou	rses provided in the curriculum.
	OExcellent OVery Good O Good	Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the depth of the syllabus for the cour industry/current global scenarios.	se in relati	on to the competencies expected by
	OExcellent OVery Good O Good	Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the sequence of the ubits/modules in	the course.	
	OExcellent OVery Good O Good	Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the distribution of credits to the cour	se.	
	OExcellent OVery Good O Good	Average	O Poor
6,	Rate the adequateness of textbooks and re	ference bo	oks mentioned for the courses.
	OExcellent OVery Good O Good O	Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the potential of the students in under	standing th	ie course objectives.
	OExcellent OVery Good O Good	Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the syllabus content for the courses in		burden on students.
	OExcellent OVery Good O Good O	Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the experiment list in stimulating the	State - States	
	OExcellent OVery Good O Good O	Average	O Poor
10.	Rate the contribution of the courses in ter		
	OExcellent OVery Good O Good O	Average	O Poor
	y other suggestion(s) :		



Name of the Alu	nui :					
Father's Namo :						
DOB (DD/MM/Y	Y) ;					
Year of Passing	1				Department :	
Permanent Addr	ess :			505		
E-mail ID :	2009-000 2009-00		6.7		Mobile No. :	
Present T	ype : 🗌 Self-er	nployed 🗌	Private Sect	or Put	blic Sector Academics Other	
Organization N	ion Name :			102 000 00		
Designation :					Present Location :	
Field of working	1.00	Inter-disc	-	-	try Administration Other	
Higher Educati	A RI	er's Degree		CONTRACTOR OF	Applicable 🗌 Other	
Cognet Eventant	Xear of a			Name of	e of the Programme :	
	Name of	Name of the Institute :				
2. Rate the suff	OVery Good	O Good	to bridge the	O Poo	or veen academia and industry.	
 Rate the suff OExcellent Rate the curr 	OVery Good ficiency of syllal OVery Good	Good Good Good Good	OAverage to bridge the OAverage current prof	O Poo gap bety O Poo	or veen academia and industry. or standards.	
2. Rate the suff OExcellent 3. Rate the curr OExcellent 4. Rate the skil	OVery Good ficiency of syllal OVery Good riculum in relat OVery Good	Good Good Good Good Good Good n the curri	Average to bridge the Average current prof Average culum to face	Poor gap betw Poor essional s Poor the indus	or veen academia and industry. or standards. r stry challenges/requirements.	
 Rate the suff Excellent Rate the cur Excellent Rate the skil Excellent Rate the skil 	OVery Good ficiency of syllal OVery Good riculum in relat OVery Good is acquired from OVery Good	Good ous content Good ion to your Good Good Good y and equ	Average current prof Average culum to face Average ipment adequ	Poor gap betw Poor Poor Poor the indus Poor	or veen academia and industry. or standards. r stry challenges/requirements. or or practical exposure.	
 Rate the suff Excellent Rate the cur Excellent Rate the skill Excellent Rate the skill Excellent Rate the inst Excellent Rate the inst 	OVery Good ficiency of syllal OVery Good Very Good Is acquired from OVery Good itute's laborato OVery Good	Good ous content Good Good Good Good ry and equ Good in relation	Average to bridge the Average current prof Average culum to face Average ipment adequ Average	Poor gap betw Poor essional s Poor the indus Poor atteness f Poor Poor y advance	or veen academia and industry. standards. r stry challenges/requirements. or for practical exposure. or ements.	
 Rate the suff Excellent Rate the curr Excellent Rate the skil Excellent Rate the skil Excellent Rate the inst Excellent Rate the offe Excellent Rate the offe Excellent 	OVery Good ficiency of syllal OVery Good riculum in relat OVery Good Is acquired from OVery Good titute's laborato OVery Good ring of electives OVery Good	Good ons content Good Good Good on the curri Good ry and equ Good in relation Good in relation Good sin relation	Average to bridge the Average current prof Average culum to face Average ipment adequ Average to technolog of extra learn	Pool	or veen academia and industry. standards. r stry challenges/requirements. or practical exposure. or ements. or H-learning .	
 Rate the suff Excellent Rate the curr Excellent Rate the skill Excellent Rate the skill Excellent Rate the inst Excellent Rate the offe Excellent Rate the dest Excellent Rate the dest Excellent 	OVery Good ficiency of syllal OVery Good Very Good Is acquired from OVery Good itute's laborato OVery Good ring of electives OVery Good ign of the cours OVery Good	Good ons content Good Good on to your Good on the curri Good ry and equ Good in relation Good in relation Good in terms Good in relation Good in terms Good	Average current prof Average culum to face Average ipment adequ Average to technolog Average of extra learn Average getting ampl	Poor gap betw Poor essional s Poor the indus Poor the indus Poor atteness f Poor y advance y advance o Poor sing or set o Poor	or veen academia and industry. xr standards. r stry challenges/requirements. or practical exposure. xr ements. or ff-learning . x ent opportunities.	
 Rate the suff Excellent Rate the curr Excellent Rate the skil Excellent Rate the inst Excellent Rate the inst Excellent Rate the offe Excellent Rate the dest Excellent Rate the dest Excellent Rate the dest Excellent Rate the trai Excellent 	OVery Good ficiency of syllal OVery Good Netry Good is acquired from OVery Good itute's laborato OVery Good itute's laborato OVery Good ign of the course OVery Good ining and placer OVery Good	Good on content Good on to your Good on the curri Good ry and equ Good of relation Good s in relation Good es in terms Good nent cell in Good pport offer	Average to bridge the Average current prof Average culum to face Average dipment adequ Average of extra learn Average of extra learn Average egetting ampli Average	Pool	or veen academia and industry. standards. r stry challenges/requirements. or practical exposure. or ements. or Af-learning . or an opportunities. or	
 Rate the suff OExcellent Rate the curr OExcellent Rate the skil OExcellent Rate the skil OExcellent Rate the inst OExcellent Rate the offe OExcellent Rate the desi OExcellent Rate the trai OExcellent Rate the con OExcellent Rate the con OExcellent Rate the inst 	OVery Good ficiency of syllal OVery Good riculum in relat OVery Good is acquired from OVery Good itute's laborato OVery Good ring of electives OVery Good ining and placer OVery Good ining and placer OVery Good	Good on content Good Good Good on to your Good on the curri Good on the curri Good on the curri Good on relation Good on relation Good on terms Good on terms Good on terms Good on terms Good on terms Good an terms Good an terms	Average to bridge the Average current prof Average culum to face Average ipment adequ Average to technolog Average of extra learn Average sgetting ampl Average ed by the tease Average bution for the	Poor pap betw Poor ressional s Poor the indus Poor the indus Poor the indus Poor atteness f Poor atteness f atteness f	or veen academia and industry. standards. r stry challenges/requirements. or practical exposure. or ements. or if-learning . or ent opportunities. or	



		pation of Pare			12.1		
Father : Mother:							
A	Idress :				11 (
		udent :		Mobi	le No.:		
De	epartment :	uocai			Year		
	ease rate you e programm		dback on t	the curriculu	n for review of syllabus / to improve q	uality	
1.	Rate the pro Semesters.	gram that your	ward is un	idergoing in te	rms of the workload of the courses in diffe	rent	
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
2.	Rate the qu	lity and relevan	ace of the c	ourses include	d in the semester.		
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	OPoor		
3,	Rate the qui	lity of teaching	at the insti	itute.			
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
4.				and the second	spective of the background of the student ing and evaluation.	that	
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
5.	Rate the tra	nsparency of th	e evaluation	n system follow	ved by the institute.		
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
6,	Rate the out	comes that you	ward has	achieved from	the courses.		
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
7.	Rate the cou	urses in terms of	their relev	ance to the lat	est technologies or future technologies?		
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
8.	Rate the ove	rall facilities av	ailable at ti	he institute co	atributing towards your ward's self-grow	h.	
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	OPoor		
9.	Rate the ins	titute's support	and contril	bution for you	r ward in getting jobs and placements.		
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	OPoor		
10	. Rate the tra	usformation of ;	your ward	after the comp	letion of the course.		
		OVery Good			O Poor		
nv	other sugge	stion(s):					



SAMPLE FORMS OF FEEDBACK COLLECTED







Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department	- pop to r t t m			Academic year :	SH2D18		
Program Nat	me: BE-	EXTC	Year/Semester: 2019- Sem 5				
Name of the	Student :	RITOD	VERMA	Roll Number :	301762		
Please rate you he programm	ur valuable fe ie.	edback on	the curriculu	m for review of syll:	abus / to improve qualit		
. Rate how ch	te how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.						
OExcellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
. Rate the app	propriateness o	of the seque	nce of the cour	ses provided in the cu	rriculum.		
OExcellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
Rate the dep		bus of the c		on to the competencie	s expected by		
OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
Rate the seq	uence of the u	nits/module	s in the course:	s.			
OExcellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
Rate the ade	quateness of th	e textbook	s and reference	books mentioned for	the courses		
OExcellent	OVery Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor	the courses.		
Rate the syll	abus content o	f the course	s in terms of b	urden on the students			
OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
				ing or self-learning .			
OExcellent	QVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
				n to technology advan	Coments		
	OVery Good				coments,		
Rate the per-	centage of the	courses offe	ring LAB com	ponents			
	OVery Good						
. Rate the com		courses in	terms of Basic	science, Engineering s	science, Humanities,		
	Overy Good						
v other suga	estion(s) +				logical downlos		
ents as	bart of	syllabu	5 -for the	Cubiect NOT	FOR EXAMINATION		
RATHER	FOR CON	STANT	UPDATION	V FOR STUDI	NITCI KNOWICK		

2. Signature of Student

Date: 10-08-2018



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Etectvica	Academic year : 2018-19
Program Name : BE-Flet	Year/Semester: 2nd 13rd
Name of the Student : Makesh Polday	Roll Number : 401776 -
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curricult the programme.	
1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by th	e courses.
OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the cour	rses provided in the curriculum.
OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor
 Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relati industry/current global scenarios. 	ion to the competencies expected by
OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses	s.
OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	O Poor
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference	e books mentioned for the courses.
Officellent OVery Good O Good O Average	O Poor
. Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of b	urden on the students.
VOExcellent OVery Good O Good O Average	O Poor
. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learni	ing or self-learning .
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	O Poor
. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation	a to technology advancements.
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	O Poor
Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB comp	oonents.
VExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor
 Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic s Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, proje 	science, Engineering science, Humanities, ect etc.?
OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor
ny other suggestion(s) : More advante le	Chnology should included

Signature of Student

Date: 12-12-18



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department : Corteu	ITER ENGIN	HERING	Academic year : 2 at 2 a 2
Program Name : BC	Computer 1.	at adversary	Year/Semester: 2018-2019 Year/Semester: 2018/serr-s
Name of the Student :	YOSHWEEK SU	NGH SOM	Roll Number : Laigs
Please rate your valuab the programme.	le feedback on	the curricul	um for review of syllabus / to improve quality o
1. Rate how challenging	was the syllabu	s offered by th	te courses.
OExcellent ØVery	Good O Good	OAverage	O Poor
			rses provided in the curriculum.
OExcellent OVery	Good O Good	OAverage	O Poor
	syllabus of the c		tion to the competencies expected by
OExcellent OVery	Good Ø Good	OAverage	O Poor
4. Rate the sequence of t	he units/module	s in the course	s.
OExcellent OVery O	Good Ø Good	OAverage	O Poor
			e books mentioned for the courses.
OExcellent OVery (Good O Good	OAverage	O Poor
. Rate the syllabus conte			
OExcellent OVery C			
. Rate the design of the			
OExcellent OVery O	iood O Good	OAverage	O Poor
			on to technology advancements.
OExcellent OVery G	iood Ø Good	OAverage	O Poor
Rate the percentage of			
OExcellent OVery G	ood O Good	OAverage	O Poor
	f the courses in t	terms of Basic	science, Engineering science Humanities
ØExcellent OVery G			OPoor
ny other suggestion(s) :			
of other suggestion(s) :			

Date: 25/11/2018

Stuch

Signature of Student







Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department : IT	Academic year : 2018-19
Program Name: B.E. PT	Year / Semester :
Name of the Faculty : Poonam P. Bar	i Designation: Asst- Prof.
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curri the programme.	culum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of
 Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAver 	
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the	ALEXAND AND A REPORT AND A REPO
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAver	
 Rate the depth of the syllabus for the course in r industry/current global scenarios. 	Contraction Contraction
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAver	age O Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the ubits/modules in the co	urse.
OExcellent OVery Good OAvera	
5. Rate the distribution of credits to the course.	
OExcellent OVery Good OAvera	age O Poor
6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference	
OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAvera	
. Rate the potential of the students in understanding	
OExcellent OVery Good OAvera	
. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms	
OExcellent OVery Good OAverage	
v	
Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interes OExcellent Very Good O Good OAverage	st of students in the subject.
0. Bate the contribution of the second	ge O Poor
0. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of I	Professional core area .
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	ge O Poor
ny other suggestion(s) :	
Une Course	should be offered by the
This rive clepends upon	should be offered by the the choice of student i.e flexibi a syllabus apart from fixed
should be added in th	e syllabus apart hom a
Elective.	this this d

Date:

Signature of Teacher



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ntire program. O Poor ses provided in the curriculum. O Poor
n for review of syllabus / to improve qualit ntire program. O Poor ses provided in the curriculum. O Poor
O Poor ses provided in the curriculum. O Poor
O Poor ses provided in the curriculum. O Poor
O Poor ses provided in the curriculum. O Poor
O Poor
O Poor
on to the competencies expected by
O Poor
0.00
O Poor
O rton
OPoor
ks mentioned for the courses.
O Poor
e course objectives.
O Poor
urden on students.
O Poor
students in the subject.
O Poor ssional core area.
O Poor

Coding Queb as Languag UNIX elle HIM ting 0.0

Signature of Teacher

Date:



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Computer Engg.	Academic year: 2018-19
Program Name :	Year / Semester :
Name of the Faculty: Ms. Smith Dange	Designation: Asst. Professor

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

- 1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program. OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage O Poor
- 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum. OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage O Poor
- 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.

OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage O Poor

- 4. Rate the sequence of the ubits/modules in the course. OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage O Poor
- 5. Rate the distribution of credits to the course. OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage O Poor
- 6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses. OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage O Poor
- 7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives. OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage O Poor
- 8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students. Excellent OVery Good O Good OAverage O Poor
- 9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject. OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage O Poor
- 10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of Professional core area . OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage O Poor

Any other suggestion(s) : project based learning to gain more knowle the. etudents Date:

Signature of Teacher

Scanned by CamScanner





Fr. Conceicao Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name *

Manish Manepalli

Father's Name *

MSK Sarma

Date of Birth *

MM DD YYYY

02 / 26 / 1995

Year of Passing *

2018

Department *

Computer Engineering

Permanent Address *

E-1402 Patel Heritage Sec-7, Kharghar 410210.

Email *

mmanish9876@gmail.com

Mobile No. *

9619528330



Designation *

Junior Research Fellow

Current Location *

Colaba, Mumbai

Field of Monking ~ +

Fie	id of working ^
0	Core
	Inter Disciplinary
0	IT Industry
0	Administration
0	Other:
Hig	her Education
Plea	se Give Details about your higher education
Deg	gree *
0	Master's Degree
0	PhD
$oldsymbol{O}$	Not Applicable
0	Other:
	e of Admission *
	ne of the Program *
Nai	ne of the Institute *

Feedback

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *

- O Excellent
- O Very Good
- ◯ Good
- Average
- O Poor

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry. *

- O Excellent
- O Very Good
- 🔵 Good
- Average
- Poor
- 3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. *
- Excellent
- Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. *

- O Excellent
- O Very Good
- Good
- Average
- O Poor

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure. *

- O Excellent
- Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. *

- O Excellent
- O Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- O Average
- Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning . *

- Excellent
- O Very Good
- ◯ Good
- Average
- O Poor

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. *

- O Excellent
- O Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- Poor
- 9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. *
- Excellent
- Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. *

- Excellent
- Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor

Any other suggestion(s): *

More robust focus on Computer science foundations, beyond university prescribed format, on Data structures and Algorithms. Useful for both academia and employment.

Students should be actively encouraged to seek internships outside. The student should be made aware that the department will be flexible and cooperative, if they can bag good opportunities.

Manish M

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.



Fr. Conceicao Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name *

Anishaa Reddy

Father's Name *

J R Reddy

Date of Birth *

MM DD YYYY

05 / 06 / 1997

Year of Passing *

2019

Department *

Extc

Permanent Address *

602, Rajashree Tower, Behind Mhaskar Hospital, Near Sai Baba Mandir, Rambaug lane 6, Kalyan West

Email *

anishaareddy@yahoo.co.in

Mobile No. *

9930713236



Maxval Technologies

Designation *

Software developer

Current Location *

Navi Mumbai

Field of Working *

O Core Inter Disciplinary IT Industry Administration O 0ther: Higher Education Please Give Details about your higher education Degree * O Master's Degree O PhD Not Applicable Other: Date of Admission * NA Name of the Program * NA Name of the Institute * NA

Feedback

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *

- O Excellent
- O Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry. *

- O Excellent
- O Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. *

- Excellent
- Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- 🔵 Poor

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. *

- O Excellent
- Very Good
- ◯ Good
- O Average
- O Poor

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure. *

- O Excellent
- O Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. *

- O Excellent
- Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning . *

- Excellent
- O Very Good
- Good
- Average
- O Poor

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. *

- O Excellent
- O Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor
- 9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. *
- Excellent
- Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. *

- O Excellent
- Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor

Any other suggestion(s): *

Since IT is a major sector and offers many job opportunities it would be better to include some basic programming languages in curriculum such as java, c# etc.

And syllabus of some subjects such as EIM, TVE, WTP can be reduced.

Also giving more importance to practicals compared to theory lectures would help further in industry.

Digital Signature *	
Anishaa	
	This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.



Fr. Conceicao Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name *

Shashank

Father's Name *

Surendra

Date of Birth *

MM DD YYYY

09 / 03 / 1993

Year of Passing *

2018

Department *

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Permanent Address *

1 RAJMAHAL CHS, TALWALKAR GYM BUILDING, MADANLAL DHINGRA ROAD, PACHPAKHADI THANE WEST

Email *

ssd3993@gmail.com

Mobile No. *

9860017213



Project Coordinator

Current Location *

Sydney

Field of Working *

۲	Core
\bigcirc	Inter Disciplinary
0	IT Industry
\bigcirc	Administration
0	Other:
	her Education
Deg	jree *
	Master's Degree
0	PhD
0	Not Applicable
\bigcirc	Other:
Date of Admission *	
18/0	02/2019
Nai	ne of the Program *
Ren	ewable Energy Engineering
Nai	ne of the Institute *
Univ	ersity of New South Wales

Feedback

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *

- O Excellent
- O Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry. *

- O Excellent
- O Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor
- 3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. *
- Excellent
- Very Good
- 🔵 Good
- Average
- O Poor

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. *

- O Excellent
- Very Good
- ◯ Good
- Average
- O Poor

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure. *

- O Excellent
- Very Good
- ◯ Good
- Average
- O Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. *

- O Excellent
- 🔘 Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- O Average
- O Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning . *

- Excellent
- 🔘 Very Good
- Good
- Average
- O Poor
8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. *

- Excellent
- O Very Good
- Good
- Average
- O Poor
- 9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. *
- Excellent
- Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. *

- Excellent
- O Very Good
- 🔘 Good
- Average
- O Poor

Any other suggestion(s): *

- 1- Curriculum can be more industrial/practical knowledge oriented.
- 2-Assignments/Tutorials must be updated every year as per changes in market
- 3- More stress can be provided on softwares training or knowledge (ex AUTOCad, PSCAD, ETAP etc)
- 4- Electives options should be open for all subjects

5- For final year students Or third year students, Industry expert talks can be organised so as to know what is actually needed in the market.

Date * MM DD YYYY

10 / 22 / 2019

Digital Signature *

Sd

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.









Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name & Occupation of Parents

Father: Mr: Edwin, Fernandes. _Occupation_<u>Supervisor</u> Mother: Mrs: Mary Jasmine _Occupation_<u>House Maker</u> Address: 2/A/22, Taximen's colony, L.B.S. Marg, Kurla (w) <u>Mumbai - Fo</u> Department: <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Mobile No.: 9820138634</u> <u>Year 2015 - 19</u>

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in different Semesters.

OExcellent OVery Good O Good OKverage O Poor

2. Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.

OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage OPoor

3. Rate the quality of teaching at the institute.

OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAwrage O Poor

4. Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, cast, community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation.

OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage O Poor

5. Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.

OExcellent OVery Good Q Good OAverage OPoor

6. Rate the outcomes that your ward has achieved from the courses.

OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage OPoor

7. Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or future technologies?

OExcellent OVery Good O Good O Average O Poor

Scanned by CamScanner

8. Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your ward's self-growth.

OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage OPoor

9. Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements.

OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage O Poor 10. Rate the transformation of your ward after the completion of the course.

OExcellent OVery Good O Good O Average O Poor

Any other suggestion(s): yar thom Date : & H 0 H 19 Signature

1	N	石	1	-
l	lŀ	9	Y	
Ň	Q,	-	1	4
c.	-	i.	2	2

Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name & Occupation of Parents

Father: JOHNSON GEORGE	Occupation SERVILE
Mother: VIDE JUHNSON	Occupation_ SERVICE
Address : D - 501 , DIVYA SMRUTI, ROAD, MALAD (W), MVMRJ1 Mobi Name of the Student : Mobi	OPP. TOYOTO SHOW RNOM, HINK
Name of the Student : CLARENCE	ile No.: 1833632049
Lepartment - Lemes	Year 2019

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in different Semesters.

OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage OPcor

2. Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.

OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage O Poor

3. Rate the quality of teaching at the institute.

OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage O Poor

 Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, cast, community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation.

OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage OPoor

5. Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute,

OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage OPoor

6. Rate the outcomes that your ward has achieved from the courses.

OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage OPoor

7. Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or future technologies?

OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage OPoor

8. Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your ward's self-growth. OExcellent OVery Good O Good O Average O Poor

9. Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements.

OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage OPoor

10. Rate the transformation of your ward after the completion of the course.

OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage OPoor

Any other suggestion(s): PRAI 11 CAUTY.

Date: 25/4/19

Signature of Parcer Scanned by CamScanner

	Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi
	PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM
Name & Oc	cupation of Parents

Name	&	Occupation	of	Parents

a) b)

Father: Sarject Charman		Occupation_	Private Sector
Mother: Seema Chaul	AN	Occupation_	reaux Wife .
Address: 6/604 Mandidka	n Cus,		or 42-17,
Name of the Student : Charles	bi Mob	ile No.: 9819176	
	Chauhan		
Department : <u><u>T</u></u>			2019
Please rate your valuable feedback on the programme.	the curriculu	m for review of syllat	ous / to improve quality o
 Rate the program that your ward is un Semesters. 	ndergoing in te	erms of the workload of	the courses in different
OExcellent OVery Good O Good		O Poor	
2. Rate the quality and relevance of the c	ourses include	ed in the semester.	
OExcellent OVery Good O Good	ØAverage	OPoor	
3. Rate the quality of teaching at the inst	itute.		
OExcellent OVery Good OGood		OPoor	
4. Rate the treatment of the students by t includes Gender, cast, community crea	the faculty irre	espective of the backgro ning and evaluation.	und of the student that
OExcellent OVery Good & Good			
5. Rate the transparency of the evaluation	n system follow	wed by the institute.	
OExcellent OVery Good & Good			
6. Rate the outcomes that your ward has			
OExcellent OVery Good & Good		O Poor	
7. Rate the courses in terms of their relev		est technologies or futu	re technologies?
OExcellent OVery Good & Good	OAverage	OPoor	
8. Rate the overall facilities available at the	he institute cor	atributing towards your	r ward's self-growth.
On	OAverage	OPoor	
9. Rate that a contril	button	ward in getting jobs a	nd placements.
OExcellent OVery Good	O _{Average}	OPoor	
OVery Good			Contraction of the local division of the loc

Scanned by CamScanner

10. Rate the transformation of your ward after the completion of the course.

OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage OPoor

Any other suggestion(s): Workload to be reduced for better sleep cycles. Machines need to be improved.

Date: 24/4/19.

Reema Signature of Parent

Scanned by CamScanner









Summary of Students Feedback on Revised-2016 Curriculum

Total number of students given feedback :- 527

		Rating Percentage										
Sr.No	Details	No of students rated '5'	% of students	No of students rated '4'	% of students	No of students rated '3'	% of students	No of students rated '2'	% of students	No of students rated '1'	% of students	Average Percentage
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	106	20%	183	35%	198	38%	26	5%	14	3%	73%
2	Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	92	17%	184	35%	190	36%	42	8%	19	4%	71%
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	84	16%	143	27%	210	40%	56	11%	34	6%	67%
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.	94	18%	175	33%	209	40%	30	6%	19	4%	71%
5	Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses.	109	21%	188	36%	184	35%	25	5%	21	4%	73%
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of burden on the students.	122	23%	169	32%	195	37%	30	6%	11	2%	74%
7	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.	88	17%	164	31%	190	36%	56	11%	29	6%	69%
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.	102	19%	135	26%	188	36%	56	11%	46	9%	67%
9	Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.	93	18%	185	35%	182	35%	45	9%	22	4%	71%
10	Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project etc.?	97	18%	168	32%	210	40%	31	6%	21	4%	71%



Summary of Faculty Feedback on Revised-2016 Curriculum

Total number of faculty given feedback :- 66

		Rating Percentage										
Sr.No	Details	No of faculty rated '5'	% of s faculty	No of students rated '4'	% of faculty	No of faculty rated '3'	% of faculty	No of faculty rated '2'	% of faculty	No of faculty rated '1'	% of faculty	Average Percentage
1	Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.	9	14%	38	58%	14	21%	2	3%	3	5%	75%
2	Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.	11	17%	34	52%	15	23%	5	8%	1	2%	75%
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus for the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	5	8%	30	45%	19	29%	10	15%	2	3%	68%
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course.	6	9%	33	50%	22	33%	3	5%	2	3%	72%
5	Rate the distribution of credits to the course.	12	18%	34	52%	18	27%	1	2%	1	2%	77%
6	Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses.	16	24%	32	48%	16	24%	0	0%	2	3%	78%
7	Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.	4	6%	39	59%	18	27%	4	6%	1	2%	72%
8	Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.	3	5%	26	39%	29	44%	5	8%	3	5%	66%
9	Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject.	7	11%	37	56%	15	23%	4	6%	3	5%	72%
10	Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of Professional core area .	7	11%	37	56%	15	23%	5	8%	2	3%	73%



Summary of Alumni Feedback on Revised-2016 Curriculum

Total number of Alumni given feedback :- 163

		Rating Percentage										
Sr.N o	Details	No of Alumni rated '5'	% of Alumni	No of Alumni rated '4'	% of Alumni	No of Alumni rated '3'	% of Alumni	No of Alumni rated '2'	% of Alumni	No of Alumni rated '1'	% of Alumni	Average Percentage
1	Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program	25	15.3%	52	31.9%	53	32.5%	23	14.1%	10	6.1%	67%
2	Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry	16	9.8%	29	17.8%	54	33.1%	39	23.7%	25	15.3%	56%
3	Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards	20	12.4%	41	25.2%	49	30.4%	39	23.3%	14	8.6%	61%
4	Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements	23	14.1%	41	25.2%	53	32.5%	28	17.2%	18	11%	62%
5	Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure	27	16.6%	56	34.4%	49	30.1%	20	12.3%	11	6.6%	68%
6	Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements	30	18.4%	48	29.4%	40	24.6%	23	14.1%	22	13.5%	65%
7	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self- learning	21	12.9%	46	28.2%	47	28.8%	32	19.7%	17	10.4%	62%
8	Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities	17	10.4%	33	20.2%	57	35%	27	16.6%	29	17.8%	57%
9	Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers	48	29.6%	56	34.4%	33	20.2%	15	9.2%	11	6.6%	74%
10	Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students	23	14.1%	56	34.4%	53	32.5%	14	8.6%	17	10.4%	66%



Summary of Parents Feedback on Revised-2016 Curriculum

Total number of students given feedback :- 77

		Rating Percentage										
Sr.N o	Details	No of parents rated '5'	% of parents	No of parents rated '4'	% of parents	No of parents rated '3'	% of s parents	No of parents rated '2'	% of parents	No of parents rated '1'	% of parents	Average Percentage
1	Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in different Semesters	19	25%	33	43%	16	21%	12	16%	6	8%	79%
2	Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester	14	18%	30	39%	30	39%	10	13%	13	17%	81%
3	Rate the quality of teaching at the institute	25	32%	32	42%	18	23%	7	9%	10	13%	86%
4	Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, cast, community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation	28	36%	29	38%	15	19%	14	18%	12	16%	89%
5	Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute	24	31%	29	38%	19	25%	13	17%	12	16%	86%
6	Rate the outcomes that your ward has achieved from the courses	20	26%	35	45%	17	22%	14	18%	10	13%	85%
7	Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or future technologies?	18	23%	32	42%	17	22%	16	21%	8	10%	80%
8	Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your ward's self-growth	24	31%	29	38%	17	22%	10	13%	10	13%	82%
9	Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements	26	34%	21	27%	15	19%	19	25%	7	9%	79%
10	Rate the transformation of your ward after the completion of the course	18	23%	38	49%	18	23%	11	14%	10	13%	85%



Summary of the Students Feedback Analysis :

Based on the students feedback analysis for Rev-2016 curriculum, the following are the observations:

- 1. The depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios is inadequate.
- 2. The design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self- learning is insufficient.
- 3. Less flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.

Summary of the Faculty Feedback Analysis :

Based on the Faculty feedback analysis for Rev-2016 curriculum, the following are the observations:

- 1. The depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios is inadequate.
- 2. The syllabus content for the courses in terms of the burden on students is excess.

Summary of the Alumni Feedback Analysis :

Based on the Alumni feedback analysis for Rev-2016 curriculum, the following are the observations:

- 1. The sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry is inadequate.
- 2. The curriculum is inadequate in relation to the current professional standards.
- 3. The design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self- learning is insufficient.

Overall Summary of the stakeholders Feedback Analysis :

Based on the feedback analysis of all the stakeholders for **REV-2016 Curriculum**, following is the summary of the inputs/suggestions that need to be considered while designing the forthcoming Curriculum:

- 1. The content of the curriculum needs to be in pace with the latest trends and technologies to meet the expected industry/current global scenarios. The content should equip students' with the employability skills needed by industry.
- 2. Total contact hours spent on the curriculum is more leading to a shortage of time for extra learning. The number of contact hours also imposes a lot of burden on students. As a result, there is less scope of engaging time in taking up additional/value-added courses that inculcate a self-learning attitude.
- 3. More Optional courses can be included in the curriculum for providing flexibility in choosing the courses.





Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Zechnology

Sector - 9A, Vashi, Navi Mumbai - 400 703. INDIA Telephone : 27771000 , 2766 1924, 2766 0618. Fax : 2766 0619 Email : principalfcrit@gmail.com Website : www.fcrit.ac.in

Date: 2nd August, 2019

To, The Dean, Faculty of Science & Technology, University of Mumbai, Mumbai.

Kind Attention: Dr. Suresh Ukarande

Subject: Inputs for the forthcoming curriculum revision.

Respected Sir,

Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi has initiated the Outcome-Based NBA Accreditation process. As you are aware, one of the important inputs in the process is the feedback and recommendations from various stakeholders. As part of this procedure, Institute collected feedback for REV-2016 Curriculum from students, faculty, alumni and parents for the curriculum development. Based on the analysis of the collected feedback, following are the major suggestions highlighted by the stakeholders that need to be considered while designing the forthcoming Curriculum to improve the quality of education and to ensure that the budding engineers are able to meet the industry requirements:

- 1. Advanced technologies and the skill sets required in the industry can be incorporated in the curriculum to enhance employability of engineering graduates.
- 2. Total contact hours can be reduced to some extent to provide scope for self-learning.
- 3. More Optional courses can be included in the curriculum for providing flexibility in choosing the courses.

We hope the above inputs would be of use to the syllabus revision committee for curriculum enrichment. It will be our privilege to be a part of syllabus revision committee. We thank you and look forward for your valuable support in future.

Regards,





Read.