

SAMPLE TEMPLATES OF FEEDBACK FORMS



D	epartment :				Academic year :					
P	rogram Name :	6		Year/Semester :						
N	ame of the Stu	dent :		Roll Number :						
	ase rate your v e programme.	aluable feed	lback on t	the curriculu	m for review of syllabus / to improve qualit					
1.	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.									
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor					
2.	Rate the approp	priateness of	the sequen	ace of the cour	ses provided in the curriculum.					
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor					
3.	Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.									
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor					
4.	Rate the sequer	nce of the uni	ts/modules	in the course	S.					
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor					
5.	Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses.									
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor					
6.	Rate the syllabe	us content of	the course	s in terms of b	ourden on the students.					
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor					
7.	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning .									
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor					
8.	Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.									
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor					
9.	Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.									
	OExcellent C				O Poor					
10.	Rate the compo Discipline core				science, Engineering science, Humanities, oject etc.?					
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor					
An	y other suggest	tion(s) :								
	y other suggest	uon(s) .								



SAMPLE FORMS OF FEEDBACK COLLECTED



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department	- pop to r l l m		Academic year : SH2DI8				
Program Nat	ne: BE-	EXTC	Year/Semester :				
Name of the !	Student :	RITOD	VERMA	Roll Number :	301762		
Please rate you he programm	ır valuable fe e.	edback on	the curriculu	m for review of sylla	abus / to improve quality		
. Rate how ch	allenging was	the syllabu	s offered by the	e courses.			
OExcellent	Overy Goox	Good	OAverage	O Poor			
Rate the app	oropriateness	of the seque	nce of the cour	ses provided in the cu	rriculum.		
OExcellent	OVery Good	i O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
Rate the deg		bus of the c		on to the competencie	s expected by		
OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
Rate the seq	uence of the u	nits/module	s in the course	s.			
OExcellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
Rate the ade	quateness of t	he textbook	s and reference	e books mentioned for	the courses		
OExcellent	OVery Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor	the courses.		
Rate the syll	abus content e	f the course	s in terms of b	urden on the students			
OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
				ing or self-learning .			
OExcellent	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
				n to technology advan	cements		
			OAverage		coments,		
Rate the per-	centage of the	courses offe	ring LAB com	ponents			
			OAverage				
. Rate the com	position of the	courses in		science, Engineering s	science, Humanities,		
			OAverage				
v other suga	etion(e) +				logical damples		
ents as	bart of	syllabu	5 -for the	Cubiect NOT	FOR EXAMINATION		
RATHER	FOR CON	STANT	UPDATION	V FOR STUDI	ENTEL KNOWICKG		

2. Signature of Student

Date: 10-08-2018



m

Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Etectvica	Academic year : 2018-19				
Program Name : BE-Flet	Year/Semester: 2nd 13rd				
Name of the Student : Makesh Polday	Roll Number: 40/776				
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curricul the programme.					
1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by th	he courses.				
OExcellent ØVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor				
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the cou	urses provided in the curriculum.				
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage					
 Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relat industry/current global scenarios. 	tion to the competencies expected by				
OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor				
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course	25.				
OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	O Poor				
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference	e books mentioned for the courses.				
Officellent OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor				
6. Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of h	burden on the students.				
VExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor				
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learn	ing or self-learning .				
OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor				
8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relatio	n to technology advancements.				
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	O Poor				
2. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB com	ponents.				
OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor				
0. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, proj	science, Engineering science, Humanities, ject etc.?				
OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor				
Any other suggestion(s): More advante la	ethnology should included				
in syllabus.	and a second and a second ca				

Signature of Student

Date: 12-12-18



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department : COMPUTER ENGINEERING	Academic year : 2 21 8 - 2 - 12				
Program Name : BC Conductor for and all	Vanil 8				
Name of the Student : Yoshveer Sinch forth	Roll Number : Loissy				
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculu the programme.	m for review of syllabus / to improve quality o				
1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the	e courses.				
OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor				
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the cour					
OExcelient OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor				
 Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation industry/current global scenarios. 					
OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	O Poor				
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses					
OExcellent OVery Good Ø Good OAverage	O Poor				
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference					
OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor				
. Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of bu					
OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	O Poor				
. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learni					
OExcellent OVery Good O Good OAverage	O Poor				
. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation					
OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	O Poor				
Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB comp					
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	O Poor				
 Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic s Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, proje 	science, Engineering science Humanities				
ØExcellent OVery Good O Good O Average	O Poor				
ny other suggestion(s) :					

Date: 25/11/2018

Stuch

Signature of Student







FR.C. RODRIGUES INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, VASHI

Summary of Students Feedback on Revised-2016 Curriculum

Total number of students given feedback :- 527

		Rating Percentage										
Sr.No	Details	No of students rated '5'	% of students	No of students rated '4'	% of students	No of students rated '3'	% of students	No of students rated '2'	% of students	No of students rated '1'	% of students	Average Percentage
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	106	20%	183	35%	198	38%	26	5%	14	3%	73%
2	Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	92	17%	184	35%	190	36%	42	8%	19	4%	71%
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	84	16%	143	27%	210	40%	56	11%	34	6%	67%
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.	94	18%	175	33%	209	40%	30	6%	19	4%	71%
5	Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses.	109	21%	188	36%	184	35%	25	5%	21	4%	73%
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of burden on the students.	122	23%	169	32%	195	37%	30	6%	11	2%	74%
7	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.	88	17%	164	31%	190	36%	56	11%	29	6%	69%
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.	102	19%	135	26%	188	36%	56	11%	46	9%	67%
9	Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.	93	18%	185	35%	182	35%	45	9%	22	4%	71%
10	Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project etc.?	97	18%	168	32%	210	40%	31	6%	21	4%	71%